

## EDITOR'S NOTE

With excitement for the future and great reverence for the past, the editorial board of the *Denver Law Review* proudly presents the one hundredth volume of the journal. The *Denver Law Review* began in 1923 as the *Denver Bar Association Record* and in the intervening one hundred years has published students and Supreme Court Justices alike. With sincere gratitude, we respectfully dedicate this third issue of this centennial volume to the many past members who, each year, held the work of the *Denver Law Review* near to their hearts as stewards of the journal. We hold those members in our minds as we push forward into a new century, one where the journal will remain steady from the foundation laid by those before, but supple enough to continue embracing change and growth.

To honor one hundred years of publication, the editors thought it would be appropriate to recognize some of our recent “greatest hits,” that is, our most cited articles. During the summer of 2022, we invited the authors of our greatest hits to come back to the *Denver Law Review* (DLR) and share commentary on their past works. We were thrilled when so many accepted our offer. This issue begins with our special centennial section—our greatest hits revisited. Following that are tributes from the DLR faculty advisors and DLR’s long-time supporter, Professor Ved Nanda. We conclude this special issue with our regularly scheduled programming: three scholarly articles from Professors Dickinson, Phelps, and Rosenbaum.

Reflecting on DLR’s greatest hits, we include five commentaries. First, Professor Ertman, a past DU Law faculty member, reflects on her article, *Contractual Purgatory for Sexual Minorities: Not Heaven, but Not Hell Either*. Published in volume 73, Professor Ertman considers the article “the foundation of [her] subsequent research and writing on the progressive role of contract theory and doctrine.” Second, Professor Leo discusses the article he coauthored with Richard Ofshe for volume 74, *The Decision to Confess Falsely: Rational Choice and Irrational Action*. Professor Leo shares his hopes that the article “will continue to inform future empirical scholarship on the psychology of police interrogation and confessions.” Third, Professor Mutua contemplates her article, *The Rise, Development and Future Directions of Critical Race Theory and Related Scholarship*, featured in volume 84. Professor Mutua’s reflection on her article—which was foundational to the creation of ClassCrits—discusses the way that the present “demonizing of CRT serves to divert, distract, and turn the conversation away from the demands for a racial reckoning.” Fourth, Professor Stone comments on her article from volume 73, *Mandatory Arbitration of Individual Employment Rights: The Yellow Dog Contract of the 1990s*. Professor Stone identifies how Supreme Court decisions have continued to “limit access to the courts for workers, consumers, debtors, small businesses, or other weaker parties.” Finally, Professor Valdes revisits the “culture wars” first introduced in his volume 75 article,

*Beyond Sexual Orientation in Queer Legal Theory: Majoritarianism, Multidimensionality, and Responsibility in Social Justice Scholarship or Legal Scholars as Cultural Warriors.*

The interests of our readers are borne out through these greatest hits. The subjects featured confirm that DLR has acted shrewdly in its recent commitment to readjusting its focus from not only impactful scholarship, but impactful scholarship that increasingly includes diverse viewpoints that historically have gone unheard. With this refreshed vision, we look forward to another one hundred years.

*Rebecca Glenn*  
*Editor in Chief, Volume 100*